# **Current Biology**

## Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Fails to Prevent Metabolic Dysregulation during a Repeating Pattern of Insufficient Sleep and Weekend Recovery Sleep

## **Highlights**

- Sleep loss increased after-dinner energy intake and reduced insulin sensitivity
- In total, participants slept an extra 1.1 h during weekend recovery versus baseline
- After-dinner energy intake was reduced during weekend recovery sleep
- Weekend recovery sleep did not prevent weight gain or reduced insulin sensitivity

### Authors

Christopher M. Depner, Edward L. Melanson, Robert H. Eckel, ..., Ellen R. Stothard, Sarah J. Morton, Kenneth P. Wright, Jr.

### Correspondence

kenneth.wright@colorado.edu

## In Brief

Weekend recovery sleep is a common sleep-loss countermeasure. Depner et al. show that short sleep led to later timing of energy intake, weight gain, and reduced insulin sensitivity. Weekend recovery sleep failed to prevent later timing of energy intake, weight gain, or reduced insulin sensitivity during recurrent short sleep following the weekend.



Current Biology

## Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Fails to Prevent Metabolic Dysregulation during a Repeating Pattern of Insufficient Sleep and Weekend Recovery Sleep

Christopher M. Depner,<sup>1</sup> Edward L. Melanson,<sup>2,3</sup> Robert H. Eckel,<sup>2</sup> Janet K. Snell-Bergeon,<sup>4</sup> Leigh Perreault,<sup>2</sup> Bryan C. Bergman,<sup>2</sup> Janine A. Higgins,<sup>4</sup> Molly K. Guerin,<sup>1</sup> Ellen R. Stothard,<sup>1</sup> Sarah J. Morton,<sup>1</sup> and Kenneth P. Wright, Jr.<sup>1,2,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sleep and Chronobiology Laboratory, Department of Integrative Physiology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA <sup>2</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Diabetes, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

<sup>3</sup>Division of Geriatric Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO 80045, USA

<sup>5</sup>Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: kenneth.wright@colorado.edu https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.01.069

#### SUMMARY

People commonly increase sleep duration on the weekend to recover from sleep loss incurred during the workweek. Whether ad libitum weekend recovery sleep prevents metabolic dysregulation caused by recurrent insufficient sleep is unknown. Here, we assessed sleep, circadian timing, energy intake, weight gain, and insulin sensitivity during sustained insufficient sleep (9 nights) and during recurrent insufficient sleep following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep. Healthy, young adults were randomly assigned to one of three groups: (1) control (CON; 9-h sleep opportunities, n = 8, (2) sleep restriction without weekend recovery sleep (SR; 5-h sleep opportunities, n = 14), and (3) sleep restriction with weekend recovery sleep (WR; insufficient sleep for 5-day workweek, then 2 days of weekend recovery, then 2 nights of insufficient sleep, n = 14). For SR and WR groups, insufficient sleep increased after-dinner energy intake and body weight versus baseline. During ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, participants cumulatively slept ~1.1 h more than baseline, and after-dinner energy intake decreased versus insufficient sleep. However, during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend, the circadian phase was delayed, and after-dinner energy intake and body weight increased versus baseline. In SR, whole-body insulin sensitivity decreased ~13% during insufficient sleep versus baseline, and in WR, whole-body, hepatic, and muscle insulin sensitivity decreased ~9%-27% during recurrent insufficient sleep versus baseline. Furthermore, during the weekend, total sleep duration was lower in women versus men, and energy intake decreased to baseline levels in women but not in men. Our findings suggest that weekend recovery sleep is not an effective strategy

to prevent metabolic dysregulation associated with recurrent insufficient sleep.

#### INTRODUCTION

Findings from the Global Burden of Disease study indicate that 603.7 million adults were obese in 2015, and cardiovascular disease and diabetes were the first and second, respectively, leading causes of death from a high body mass index (BMI) [1]. Insufficient sleep and untreated sleep disorders are recognized risk factors for obesity and diabetes [2-5]. Specifically, insufficient sleep alters several behavioral and physiological processes implicated in metabolic dysregulation, including regulation of energy intake and delayed circadian timing, which results in weight gain and reduced insulin sensitivity [2, 6–17]. The Sleep Research Society and American Academy of Sleep Medicine recommend that adults aged 18-60 years regularly obtain 7 h or more of sleep per night to promote optimal health [18, 19]. Yet, estimates show  $\sim$ 35% of American adults report sleeping less than the recommended 7 h per night, ~30% report sleeping less than 6 h per night, and over 40% of active military personnel report sleeping less than 5 h per night [20–23]. As a result, the habit of increasing weekend sleep duration in an attempt to recover from sleep loss incurred during the workweek is common [24, 25].

The effectiveness of scheduled weekend recovery sleep (i.e., experimentally controlled recovery sleep opportunities) as a strategy to mitigate adverse physiological consequences of insufficient sleep has been examined for whole-body insulin sensitivity, inflammatory proteins, and blood pressure [26–30]. Findings from these studies are mixed with evidence for and against improved physiological outcomes. It is unknown whether *ad libitum* weekend recovery sleep can prevent adverse metabolic consequences of recurrent episodes of insufficient sleep when people maintain sleep schedules that cycle between weekend recovery sleep and insufficient sleep during the workweek. Hereafter, we refer to insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep as recurrent insufficient sleep.

We used a randomized, three-group, in-laboratory Clinical Translational Research Center (CTRC) protocol to examine



#### Figure 1. Experimental Protocol

Control group (A), sleep restriction group (B), and weekend recovery group (C). Underlines represent scheduled wakefulness, gray boxes represent dim-light (<10 lux) conditions for melatonin assessments, and black boxes represent scheduled time in bed. Time of day is plotted as relative clock hour with scheduled waketime during baseline days arbitrarily assigned a value of 08:00 h, and all other times referenced to this value (e.g., breakfast 1.0 h after scheduled waketime would be reported as occurring at a relative time of day of 09:00 h). Actual sleep timing was based on the habitual sleep timing of each individual, so all participants were studied at their habitual circadian phase for baseline sleep assessments. 24-h blood samples were collected on study days 3, 5, and 11 for assessment of melatonin (24-h circadian phase assessments). The hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp was administered on study days 4 and 12 (labeled CLAMP). For all groups, study days 1–3 served as the in-laboratory baseline segment, study days 4–8 served as the workweek-1 segment, study days 9 and 10 served as the weekend segment, and study day 11–13 served as the workweek-2 segment. Additionally, we use the term study night to refer specifically to the sleep opportunity for a given study day (e.g., study night 8 refers to the sleep opportunity on study day 8). CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group.

how recurrent insufficient sleep following an ad libitum weekend-recovery-sleep episode affects circadian phase, energy intake, body weight, and whole-body and tissue-specific insulin sensitivity (Figure 1), with equal numbers of men and women in each study group. Outcome variables were sleep duration during ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, circadian melatonin phase, total daily energy intake, after-dinner energy intake, body weight, and whole-body and tissue-specific insulin sensitivity. As an exploratory aim, we also examined potential sex differences, as many prior studies only examined men [7, 15, 27, 30-32], and we previously reported sex differences in metabolic responses to insufficient sleep [17]. Our major findings show that insufficient sleep led to higher after-dinner energy intake, weight gain, delayed circadian timing of melatonin onset, and reduced whole-body insulin sensitivity. For participants in the weekend recovery (WR) group, ad libitum weekend recovery sleep failed to prevent any of these metabolic derangements when assessed during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend. Furthermore, the timing of the circadian melatonin offset was delayed, and hepatic and muscle insulin sensitivity were reduced during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend. Sex differences were observed during weekend recovery sleep. Specifically, total ad libitum weekend recovery sleep duration was lower in women versus men, and energy intake during the weekend decreased to baseline levels in women but not in men. Thus, under conditions of ad libitum energy intake, our findings suggest that ad libitum weekend recovery sleep is not likely an effective countermeasure strategy to prevent the negative

metabolic consequences associated with recurrent insufficient sleep across multiple workweeks.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Does Not Fully Repay Workweek Sleep Loss

During the baseline segment (days 1-3), average polysomnography-derived sleep duration was similar (p = 0.77) across groups (~8 h per night; Table 1). In the control group (CON), average sleep duration during workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments was similar (p = 0.65, Table 1; as designed by the study protocol; Figure 1). In the sleep-restriction group (SR), sleep duration was lower (p < 0.001) during workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments versus baseline, as designed (Table 1; Figure 1). In the weekend-recovery group (WR), sleep duration was lower (p < 0.001) during workweeks 1 and 2 compared to baseline, as designed, whereas sleep duration was higher (p < 0.05) on study days 8 (Friday night) and 9 (Saturday night) compared to baseline (Table 1). Cumulatively over study days 8 and 9, participants in the WR group slept a total of ~3.0 h more than in baseline. However, on study day 10 (Sunday night) when participants in the WR group self-selected their bedtime (lights off) knowing waketime (lights on) on study day 11 was scheduled early to simulate Monday morning (i.e., 2 h prior to habitual waketime; Figure 1), sleep duration was lower (p < 0.001) compared to baseline (Table 1). Thus, cumulatively across study nights 8-10 with ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, WR participants only slept a total of ~1.1 h more than their

Table 1. Total Sleep Time, Waketimes, and Bedtimes								
	SD2 BL	SD3 BL	SD4 WW-1	SD5 WW-1	SD8 WE- Friday	SD9 WE- Saturday	SD10 WE- Sunday	SD11 WW-2
Total Sle	ep Time (h)							
CON	7.9 ± 0.1	8.0 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.3	7.8 ± 0.2	8.0 ± 0.2	7.9 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.1	7.8 ± 0.2
SR	8.0 ± 0.1	$7.7 \pm 0.2$	$4.6 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$4.7 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$4.9 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$4.8 \pm 0.0^{*}$	$4.9 \pm 0.0^{*}$	$4.8 \pm 0.0^{*}$
WR	8.1 ± 0.1	8.1 ± 0.1	$4.5 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$4.7 \pm 0.1^{*}$	$10.0 \pm 0.4^{*}$	$9.2 \pm 0.5^{*}$	6.1 ± 0.3*	$4.5 \pm 0.1^{*}$
Waketim	e (Clock h.min)			1	'			
CON	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4	8.2 ± 0.4
SR	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$	$6.0 \pm 0.3$
WR	$8.2 \pm 0.3$	8.2 ± 0.3	$8.0 \pm 0.3$	6.1 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.3	$12.0 \pm 0.4^{*}$	$11.6 \pm 0.4^{*}$	6.1 ± 0.3
Bedtime (Clock h.min)								
CON	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	$23.2 \pm 0.4$	23.2 ± 0.4
SR	$23.0\pm0.3$	$23.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.0 \pm 0.3$	$25.0 \pm 0.3$	25.0 ± 0.3
WR	$23.1 \pm 0.3$	$23.1 \pm 0.3$	$25.1 \pm 0.3$	$25.1 \pm 0.3$	$25.1 \pm 0.3$	$24.4 \pm 0.5^{*}$	$23.1 \pm 0.4$	25.1 ± 0.3

For all groups, study days 2 and 3 represent baseline, study days 4–8 represent workweek 1, study days 9 and 10 represent the weekend, and study day 11 represents workweek 2. Participants in the WR group self-selected their bedtimes and waketimes on study days 9 and 10; times are for the major sleep episode excluding naps—naps are included in the total sleep time for WR on days 9 and 10; however, note that only 2 subjects chose to nap and that each subject took just one nap lasting ~1.5 h each. CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend-recovery group; SD, study day; BL, baseline, WW1, workweek 1; WW2, workweek 2; WE, weekend; h, hour; min, minute. \*p < 0.05 versus baseline (within subjects). Statistically significant differences in waketimes and bedtimes are only indicated for the self-selected waketimes and bedtimes in the WR group, as all other waketimes and bedtimes were set by the study protocol. Waketimes and bedtimes are based on the actual clock hour. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM. See also Figure S1 and Figure S6.

estimated total sleep need as estimated from each participant's baseline sleep duration. Therefore, the weekend of *ad libitum* recovery sleep did not repay on an hour-by-hour basis the more than 12 h of sleep lost during the workweek.

Slow-wave activity (SWA) during sleep is a commonly used electroencephalographic (EEG) marker of homeostatic sleep drive that shows increases during nighttime sleep after total sleep deprivation and decreases during nighttime sleep after a daytime nap [33, 34]. Yet, findings of SWA from prior studies of insufficient sleep are mixed; e.g., findings show increases [35-37], decreases [38], or no changes [39, 40] in SWA across days of insufficient sleep. Here, in the control group (CON), cumulative SWA per night was similar across all study segments (p = 0.29, Figure 2A). In the SR group, cumulative SWA per night was lower (all p < 0.001) during workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments versus baseline (Figure 2A). In the WR group, cumulative SWA per night was lower (all p < 0.001) during workweeks 1 and 2 than in baseline or during the weekend (Figure 2A). During the weekend in the WR group, cumulative SWA was higher (all p < 0.05) on study days 8 and 9 versus baseline and study day 10, and it was lower (p < 0.001) on study day 10 versus baseline (Figure 2B). Higher cumulative SWA in the WR group on study day 9 indicates that the homeostatic sleep drive was still elevated and therefore that WR participants were not fully recovered. Decreased cumulative SWA in the WR group on study day 10 is consistent with lower total sleep duration on study day 10 versus baseline. Our SWA findings suggest that participants in the WR group did not fully recover their sleep debt during ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, and thus, some sleep debt was carried over into workweek 2. Our findings from both total sleep duration and cumulative SWA consistently show that a weekend of ad libitum recovery sleep is not sufficient to fully recover from a workweek of insufficient sleep. Given the limited amount of total sleep recovered during the *ad libitum* weekend recovery sleep opportunity provided, it is unclear how much insufficient sleep during the workweek can be recovered in a typical weekend.

## Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Delayed the Timing of Sleep, Light Exposure, and Circadian Rhythms

By design, bedtimes and waketimes in the CON group were fixed throughout the study, and bedtimes and waketimes in the SR group were delayed and advanced by 2 h each, respectively, during insufficient sleep versus baseline (Figure 1). In the WR group, self-selected waketimes were  $\sim 3.9 \pm 0.3$  h and  $\sim 3.5 \pm 0.2$  h later (p < 0.001) on study days 9 (Saturday) and 10 (Sunday), respectively, compared to baseline (Table 1). Also, for the WR group, self-selected bedtime on study day 9 was  $\sim 1.3 \pm 0.4$  h later (p < 0.01) than baseline, whereas self-selected bedtime on study day 10 was similar (p = 0.93) to baseline (Table 1).

The circadian clock times human physiology such that energy intake, physical activity, and wakefulness optimally occur during the biological day, and fasting, physical inactivity, and sleep optimally occur during the biological night [41]. In humans, dim-light melatonin onset and offset define the beginning and end of the biological night, respectively [42]. In the CON group, timing of the dim-light melatonin onset and dim-light melatonin offset and circadian-phase relationships to scheduled bedtimes and waketimes were similar (all p > 0.13) across study days (Figure 3A; [the time of dim-light melatonin onset before scheduled bedtime was 1.8  $\pm$  0.7 h SEM on day 3, 1.0  $\pm$  0.3 h SEM on day 5, and 1.4 ± 0.4 h SEM on day 11; the time of dim-light melatonin offset after scheduled waketime was 1.2 ± 1.1 h SEM on day 3, 2.0  $\pm$  0.7 h SEM on day 5, and 1.6  $\pm$  0.8 h SEM on day 11]). In the SR group, dim-light melatonin onset (Figure 3B) was delayed (p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 25 min on study day 11 versus study

#### **Cell**Press



#### Figure 2. Cumulative Slow-Wave Activity

(A) Cumulative slow-wave activity per night during sleep opportunities on study days 2 and 3 (baseline), study days 4 and 5 (workweek 1), study days 8–10 (weekend), and study day 11 (workweek 2) for the CON, SR, and WR groups.

(B) Cumulative slow-wave activity during weekend recovery days in the WR group. Solid lines represent significant differences between study segments at the end of each line (p < 0.05; within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend-recovery group;  $\mu$ V, micro-volt. Data are mean ± SEM.

day 5, and circadian-phase relationships to scheduled bedtimes and waketimes were altered. Specifically, the time between dimlight melatonin onset and scheduled bedtime was  $\sim$ 1.9 h and  $\sim$ 1.6 h wider (all p < 0.05) on study days 5 and 11, respectively, versus baseline, and the time between dim-light melatonin offset and scheduled waketime was  $\sim$ 1.5 h and  $\sim$ 2.3 h wider (all p < 0.05) on study days 5 and 11, respectively, versus baseline (Figure 3B). Thus, insufficient sleep resulted in more wakefulness during the biological night versus baseline, similar to our previous findings [17]. In the WR group, dim-light melatonin onset was delayed (p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 1.2 h and  $\sim$ 1.7 h on study days 5 and 11, respectively, versus baseline, dim-light melatonin offset was delayed (p < 0.05) ~1.4 h on study day 11 versus baseline (Figure 3C), and circadian-phase relationships to scheduled bedtimes and waketimes were altered. Specifically, the time between dim-light melatonin onset and scheduled bedtime was  $\sim$ 0.7 h wider (p < 0.001) on study day 5 versus baseline, and the time between dim-light melatonin offset and scheduled waketime was~2.7 h and ~3.4 h wider (all p < 0.05) on study days 5 and 11, respectively, versus baseline (Figure 3C). Thus, sustained and recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep resulted in more wakefulness during the biological night versus baseline, but only weekend recovery sleep significantly delayed the timing of the end of the biological night on the Monday morning of workweek 2.

In the SR and WR groups, the changes in bedtimes and waketimes resulted in changes in light exposure patterns during insufficient sleep and ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, contributing to the observed changes in circadian phase (Figures 3 and S1), consistent with our prior findings during insufficient sleep [12, 17]. Furthermore, in the WR group during ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, later timing of light exposure at night and in the morning effectively increased light exposure during the evening-phase delay and decreased light exposure during the morning-phase advance portions of the phase response curve to light (Figure S1). Such changes in the timing of light exposure likely contributed to the observed phase delay on study day 11 (Monday of workweek 2) following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep [42, 43]. As observed here, following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, and elsewhere [12, 17, 43, 44], such delayed circadian timing increases the chance of waking up on Monday morning during the biological night, inducing morning circadian misalignment, consistent with the concept of social jetlag [43, 45].

#### Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Failed to Prevent Increased After-Dinner Energy Intake and Weight Gain during Recurrent Insufficient Sleep

In the CON group, total daily energy intake increased (p < 0.05) ~1,100 kcal during workweek 2 versus baseline (Figure 4A). In the SR and WR groups, total daily energy intake increased (p < 0.05) 480–1,130 kcal during workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments versus baseline (Figure 4A). In the WR group, total daily energy intake decreased (all p < 0.001) ~667 kcal and ~524 kcal during the weekend segment versus the workweek-1 and workweek-2 segments, respectively (Figure 4A).

In each group, energy intake from breakfast, lunch, and dinner was not statistically different between study segments, even though energy intake was ad libitum and the provided meals were  $\sim$ 33% larger than in baseline (Figures S2A–S2C). In the CON group, energy intake from after-dinner snacks was nonsignificantly increased (p > 0.05 after Bonferroni correction; actual p = 0.03 prior to Bonferroni correction) ~279 kcal during workweek 1 versus baseline (Figure 4B). In the SR group, energy intake from after-dinner snacks increased (all p < 0.001)  $\sim$ 481– 507 kcal during the workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments versus baseline (Figure 4B). In the WR group, energy intake from pre-dinner snacks increased (all p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 211 kcal and  $\sim$ 178 kcal during workweek 1 versus the weekend and workweek-2 segments, respectively (Figure S2D). Also, in the WR group, energy intake from after-dinner snacks was non-significantly elevated (p = 0.053) during the weekend versus baseline, and it was increased (all p < 0.01)  $\sim$ 409-641 kcal during workweek 1 and workweek 2 versus baseline and the weekend (Figure 4B).

In the CON group, protein intake increased (p < 0.05) during workweek 1 versus baseline, and fat intake increased (p < 0.05) during workweek 2 versus baseline (Table 2). In the SR and WR groups, intake of carbohydrate, fat, and protein increased (p < 0.05) during workweek-1, weekend, and workweek-2 segments versus baseline (Table 2). However, in the WR group, intake of carbohydrate and fat decreased (p < 0.05) during the weekend versus the workweek-1 and workweek-2 segments, whereas protein intake decreased (p < 0.05) during the weekend versus the workweek-1 and workweek-2 segments, whereas protein intake decreased (p < 0.05) during the weekend versus the workweek-1 segment only (Table 2), although, as noted, intakes of these macronutrients were still increased versus baseline.

In the CON group, hunger on study days 5–13 was similar (all  $p \ge 0.22$ ) to baseline (Figure S3A), whereas in the SR group,



hunger decreased (p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 40% on study day 5 versus baseline, and in the WR group, hunger decreased (p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 34%– 44% on study days 5–13 versus baseline (Figures S3B and S3C).

In the CON group, body weight was non-significantly increased (p = 0.23) 1.0  $\pm$  0.8 kg on the morning of study day 13 versus baseline. In the SR and WR groups, body weight was increased to a similar extent (SR versus WR, p = 0.91; study day 13 versus baseline, all p < 0.05) by 1.4  $\pm$  0.5 kg and 1.3  $\pm$  0.4 kg, respectively, on the morning of study day 13 versus baseline (Figure S2E).

Compared to the controlled-energy balanced diets provided at baseline, energy intake was increased when food was provided ad libitum, consistent with previous findings [17, 31, 46, 47]. However, increased energy intake led to significant weight gain only in the SR and WR groups. Even though participants in the WR group had decreased total and after-dinner energy intake during the weekend, weight gain during recurrent insufficient sleep was similar to that found in participants in the SR group. These findings indicate that weekend recovery sleep does not mitigate the excess energy intake and weight gain that occur during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend. As we have discussed previously [4, 17], increased energy intake during insufficient sleep is likely an appropriate physiological adaptation in response to increased energy expenditure due to extended wakefulness during insufficient sleep, but in response to food provided ad libitum, energy intake exceeds the increased energy expenditure, leading to weight gain. Also consistent with our previous findings [17], increased total energy intake during insufficient sleep persisted despite decreased hunger ratings, and weekend recovery sleep did not alter these findings.

Energy intake during an inappropriate biological time of day has negative metabolic consequences in humans [48–51] and rodents [52–54]. Here, energy intake from after-dinner snacks increased from ~290 kcal at baseline to greater than 771 kcal during insufficient sleep. Similar to our previous findings [17], during insufficient sleep, the energy intake from after-dinner snacks accounted for more calories than did pre-dinner snacks or any other single meal. These findings of increased energy intake during the biological night during insufficient sleep provide

#### Figure 3. Circadian Timing of Biological-Night and Circadian-Phase Relations with Bedtimes and Waketimes

Control group (A), sleep-restriction group (B), and weekend-recovery group (C). Gray boxes represent scheduled time in bed. Black upward-facing triangles represent the dim-light melatonin onset. Black downward-facing triangles represent the dim-light melatonin offset. The time of day is represented as relative clock hour as in Figure 1. \*p < 0.05 versus baseline study day 3 (within groups). #p < 0.05 versus study day 5 (within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend-recovery group. Data are mean ± SEM. See also Figure S1.

further evidence that insufficient sleep alters the timing of energy intake, and such altered timing of energy intake may contribute to the metabolic dysregulation

associated with insufficient sleep. During weekend recovery sleep, despite the fact that bedtime was over 1 h later compared to baseline, energy intake from after-dinner snacks was reduced versus workweek 1 and workweek 2, indicating that weekend recovery sleep altered the timing of energy intake to more closely reflect timing of energy intake at baseline. This finding suggests that eating more after-dinner snacks during insufficient sleep cannot simply be explained by an increased opportunity to eat in the evening. During recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend, timing of energy intake immediately occurred later in the day, with ~100% more energy consumed as after-dinner snacks, relative to the weekend. Thus, our findings suggest that any potential benefit of weekend recovery sleep on improving the timing of energy intake is transient and not sustained during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend. Furthermore, improved timing of energy intake during ad libitum weekend recovery sleep did not prevent the weight gain observed during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend.

Regarding the macronutrient composition of energy intake during insufficient sleep, findings from prior studies are mixed, showing that participants tend to increase energy intake from carbohydrates [17, 55, 56] or fats [31, 57] during insufficient sleep. Likely, the food available to participants, or individual differences in food preferences among participants in these different studies, influenced the outcomes on changes in the macronutrient composition of energy intake during insufficient sleep. Trials investigating different meal strategies (e.g., ad libitum meals and snacks, isocaloric meals with ad libitum snacks, buffet-style meals or meals selected from a cafeteria, homogeneous foods of the same energy density, or time-restricted feeding) and investigating how macronutrient composition and specific nutrients like individual fatty acids or carbohydrates influence metabolic physiology during insufficient sleep may provide additional insights into energy-intake responses to insufficient sleep. Furthermore, such findings may support the development of interventions focused on the timing and composition of energy intake with the goal of mitigating the metabolic dysregulation associated with insufficient sleep.



#### Figure 4. Total Daily Energy Intake and After-Dinner Snack Energy Intake

(A) Total daily energy intake and (B) after-dinner snack energy intake. Solid lines represent significant differences between study segments at the end of each line (p < 0.05; within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend-recovery group; kcal, kilocalories. Data are mean ± SEM. Note that the y axis in (A) and (B) are on different scales. See also Figures S2 and S3.

#### Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Failed to Prevent Reduced Insulin Sensitivity during Recurrent Insufficient Sleep

Plasma glucose and insulin concentrations during the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp are shown in Figure S4. In the CON group, whole-body insulin sensitivity was similar (full model, p = 0.76) on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5A), including when controlling for plasma glucose concentrations during the  $40\text{-mU/m2} \cdot \text{min}$  insulin infusion and energy intake (p = 0.71) or body weight on study days 4 and 12 (i.e., change in body weight) (p = 0.94). In the SR group, whole-body insulin sensitivity was decreased (full model, p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 13% on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5A), including when controlling for plasma-glucose concentrations during the 40-mU/m2 · min insulin infusion and energy intake (p < 0.05) or body weight on study days 4 and 12 (p < 0.05). In the WR group, whole-body insulin sensitivity was decreased (full model p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 27% on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5A), including when controlling for plasma-glucose concentrations during the 40-mU/m2  $\cdot$  min insulin infusion and energy intake (p < 0.05), but not when controlling for body weight on study days 4 and 12 (p = 0.054). Our current findings are consistent with prior findings also showing reduced whole-body insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis during insufficient sleep [2, 6-16]. Furthermore, our findings suggest that weight gain contributes, in part, to the reduced whole-body insulin sensitivity in the WR group during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep. Broussard et al. [27] previously reported that 2 days of 12-h and 10-h scheduled recovery sleep opportunities, respectively, following 4 days with 4.5-h sleep opportunities under controlled energy intake conditions, restored insulin sensitivity to baseline levels using intravenous glucose tolerance tests. Previously, we showed that after a simulated workweek of insufficient 5-h-per-night sleep opportunities, 3 days of 9-h recovery sleep opportunities under ad libitum energy intake conditions restored insulin sensitivity to baseline using oral glucose tolerance tests, but 5 days of 9-h recovery sleep opportunities was insufficient to restore insulin sensitivity to baseline using intravenous glucose tolerance tests [12]. Our current findings indicate that any return of insulin sensitivity to baseline levels in response to weekend recovery sleep is not maintained during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend.

In each group, adipose insulin sensitivity was similar (all full models,  $p \ge 0.24$ ) on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5B),

including when controlling for only energy intake (all  $p \ge 0.21$ ) or only body weight (all  $p \ge 0.45$ ) on study days 4 and 12. Find-

ings from a previous study [11] showed reduced insulin signaling in *ex vivo* human adipocytes collected after 4 days with 4.5-h sleep opportunities per night. Different doses of insufficient sleep, different diets (*ad libitum* versus controlled diets), and different assessment methods likely contribute to differences between our current findings of no change in adipose insulin sensitivity and the previously reported findings [11].

In the CON and SR groups, hepatic insulin sensitivity was similar (all full models,  $p \ge 0.57$ ) on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5C), including when controlling for only energy intake (all  $p \ge 0.50$ ) or only body weight (all  $p \ge 0.17$ ) on study days 4 and 12. In the WR group, hepatic insulin sensitivity was non-significantly (full model, p = 0.054) decreased  $\sim 23\%$  on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5C) but was significantly decreased when controlling for only energy intake (p < 0.05) or only body weight (p < 0.05) on study days 4 and 12. These findings suggest that changes in total energy intake and weight gain contribute to the reduced hepatic insulin sensitivity in the WR group during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep. Given the links between hepatic insulin resistance, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), development of type 2 diabetes [58], and epidemiological findings suggesting that short sleep durations are associated with NAFLD [59], our findings suggest that reduced hepatic insulin sensitivity associated with weekend recovery sleep may contribute to metabolic dysregulation. How weekend recovery sleep negatively impacts hepatic insulin sensitivity is unclear, but findings from rodent studies show that both the timing of food intake [60-62] and sleep loss [63] can alter the core clock genes in liver. Thus, altered timing of central and peripheral circadian clocks and altered circadian timing of energy intake may contribute to the reduced hepatic insulin sensitivity observed here during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep. Our findings of no change in hepatic-specific insulin sensitivity during chronic insufficient sleep in the SR group are consistent with findings from Rao et al. [14] showing that hepatic insulin sensitivity was not affected following 5 nights with 4-h sleep opportunities per night. However, Donga et al. [13] showed that one night with a 4-h sleep opportunity resulted in hepatic insulin resistance assessed by endogenous glucose production during a hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp. Differences between our current findings and these previously reported findings [13, 14] are likely due to different study protocols and the methodologies used to assess hepatic insulin sensitivity. For example, our participants had ad

Table 2.	. Total Daily Macronutrient Intake											
	CON (n=8)				SR (n=14)			WR (n=14)				
	BL	WW-1	WE	WW-2	BL	WW-1	WE	WW-2	BL	WW-1	WE	WW-2
Macronu	trient Intak	e (g)								, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
СНО	316.9 (18.6)	441.1 (80.8)	425.7 (67.3)	483.0 (102.7)	302.5 (10.6)	388.9 (42.2)*	411.4 (38.3)*	398.5 (41.0)*	309.7 (9.1)	451.0 (35.1)* <sup>\$</sup>	360.2 (27.0)*	431.0 (39.2)* <sup>\$</sup>
FAT	76.9 (4.5)	104.6 (15.4)	100.4 (17.3)	114.6 (17.6)*	72.9 (2.7)	106.8 (12.65)*	108.8 (12.7)*	109.2 (12.1)*	75.5 (2.0)	121.8 (9.4)* <sup>\$</sup>	94.5 (8.1)*	115.8 (9.2)* <sup>\$</sup>
PRO	86.5 (5.0)	114.3 (14.3)*	114.0 (14.8)	116.1 (15.5)	82.3 (3.2)	113.4 (11.2)*	115.7 (10.2)*	112.5 (10.7)*	85.0 (2.3)	127.0 (7.6)* <sup>\$</sup>	108.3 (6.9)*	118.4 (6.7)*

For all groups, study days 1–3 represent baseline, study days 4–8 represent workweek 1, study days 9 and 10 represent the weekend, and study days 11-13 represents workweek 2. \*p < 0.05 versus baseline (within subjects); \*p < 0.05 versus weekend (within subjects). CHO, carbohydrate; PRO, protein; g, grams; CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, weekend-recovery group; BL, baseline; WW1, workweek 1; WW2, workweek 2; WE, weekend. Data are mean ± SEM.

*libitum* energy intake during insufficient sleep, whereas Rao et al. provided controlled diets, and Donga et al. did not report energy intake, their protocols ranged from one night with a 4-h sleep opportunity to 8 nights with 5-h sleep opportunities, and the insulin infusion levels during clamps were different for each study. Here, careful attention to these protocol differences helped reach our conclusion of no change in hepatic insulin sensitivity in the SR group and decreased hepatic insulin sensitivity during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend.

In the CON and SR groups, muscle insulin sensitivity was similar (all full models,  $p \ge 0.24$ ) on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5D), including when controlling for only energy intake (all  $p \ge 0.21$ ) or only body weight (CON, p = 0.06; SR, p = 0.71) on study days 4 and 12. In the WR group, muscle insulin sensitivity was decreased (full model, p < 0.05)  $\sim$ 9% on study day 12 versus baseline (Figure 5D), including when controlling for only energy intake (p < 0.05), but not when controlling for only body weight (p = 0.53) on study days 4 and 12. Muscle-specific insulin sensitivity was not reported in the prior studies by Rao et al. [14] or Donga et al. [13]. Our findings in the SR group suggest that changes in insulin sensitivity and glucose utilization in other tissues, such as the brain or kidney, contributed to the decrease in whole-body insulin sensitivity during insufficient sleep. Alternatively, in the WR group, our current findings suggest that reduced muscle insulin sensitivity was a contributing factor to reduced whole-body insulin sensitivity during recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend. Similar to our findings for weight gain contributing to reduced whole-body insulin sensitivity, findings suggest that weight gain contributes to reduced muscle insulin sensitivity in the WR group during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep. In addition to weight gain, altered circadian timing in skeletal muscle could contribute to reduced muscle insulin sensitivity. For example, overexpression of the core clock gene, brain and muscle-ARNT-like factor (BMAL1) in skeletal muscle results in decreased insulin-stimulated skeletal-muscle glucose uptake during acute sleep loss in mice [64]. These findings suggest that disruption of the skeletal-muscle circadian clocks can contribute to decreased skeletal-muscle insulin sensitivity during sleep loss. Furthermore, prior findings from a study in 15 healthy men show that one night of total sleep deprivation decreased skeletal-muscle expression of BMAL1 and cryptochrome-1 [65], indicating that sleep loss can alter expression of the skeletalmuscle circadian clock in humans. As such, it is possible that altered timing of central and peripheral circadian clocks, as well as altered timing of food intake, during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep may contribute to the reduced muscle insulin sensitivity observed here.

## Sex Differences in Total Sleep Time, Energy Intake, and Weight Gain during *Ad libitum* Weekend Recovery Sleep

In the CON and SR groups, sleep duration throughout each of the study segments was not statistically different in men versus women (all p > 0.78). However, in the WR group, there was a sex-by-study-day interaction (p < 0.01), such that ad libitum weekend recovery sleep duration, including naps and nighttime sleep, was higher (p < 0.05) in men (10.2 ± 0.6 h) versus women  $(7.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ h})$  on study day 9 (Saturday; Figures S6C and S6D). Moreover, in the WR group, sleep duration for men was increased (all p < 0.01) on study days 8 (9.8  $\pm$  0.4 h) and 9  $(10.2 \pm 0.6 \text{ h})$  versus baseline  $(8.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ h})$  (Figure S6C), whereas sleep duration for women was increased (p < 0.05) on study day 8 (10.3  $\pm$  0.6 h) but not study day 9 (7.9  $\pm$  0.6 h; p = 0.91) versus baseline  $(8.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ h})$  (Figure S6D). These sex differences in sleep duration were observed despite non-significant (all  $p \ge 0.07$ ) sex differences for time in bed (men: 10.6 ± 0.3 h SEM on day 8,  $12.1 \pm 0.7$  h SEM on day 9, 7.6  $\pm 0.5$  h SEM on day 10; women:  $11.2 \pm 0.4$  h SEM on day 8,  $10.8 \pm 0.4$  h SEM on day 9,  $6.4 \pm 0.3$  h SEM on day 10) (individual participant data shown in Figures S6A and S6B). For both men and women in the WR group, total sleep time on study day 10 (Sunday) was decreased (all p < 0.01) versus baseline (Figures S6C and S6D). As such, cumulatively across the Friday to Sunday nights with ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, men slept  $\sim$ 2.1 h more than their baseline average sleep duration, whereas women slept  $\sim$ 0.02 h more than their baseline average sleep duration. The reasons for sex differences in recovery sleep duration are unclear, but the pattern was similar for most men and women (Figures S6C and S6D).

Similar to our previous findings [17], in each group, total energy intake was higher (all p < 0.05) in men (3,443.4 ± 132.4 kcal/day) versus women (2,299.3 ± 55.5 kcal/day), regardless of sleep opportunity. Furthermore, for total energy intake as a percent change from baseline, in the WR group, there was a sex-by-study-segment interaction (p < 0.001). For men in the WR group, total energy intake as a percent change from baseline was a percent change from baseline was increased (all p < 0.01) during workweek-1 (72.0% ± 9.2%),





#### Figure 5. Insulin Sensitivity

(A) Whole-body insulin sensitivity; (B) adipose insulin sensitivity; (C) hepatic insulin sensitivity; and (D) muscle insulin sensitivity. Solid lines represent significant differences between study days at the end of each line (p < 0.05; within groups) for the full mixed-effects ANOVA models only. p values for mixed-effect ANOVAs with only energy intake or only body weight as covariates are included in the results. GIR, glucose infusion rate; Rd, rate of disappearance;  $\mu$ U, microunits; ml, millijarams; kg, kilograms; min, minute; CON, control group; SR, sleep-restriction group; WR, week-end-recovery group. Data are mean ± SEM. See also Figures S4 and S5.

to investigate how *ad libitum* weekend recovery sleep impacts circadian timing, energy intake, body weight, and insulin sensitivity during recurrent insufficient sleep following *ad libitum* weekend recovery sleep. Our findings show that energy intake from after-dinner snacks and body weight were increased, and insulin sensitivity was reduced during recurrent insuffi-

weekend (40.3%  $\pm$  7.6%), and workweek-2 (64.7%  $\pm$  11.2%) segments. Also, for men, the percent change in total energy intake was lower (all p < 0.05) during the weekend versus the workweek-1 and workweek-2 segments. For women in the WR group, total energy intake as a percent change from baseline was similar during the weekend (1.9%  $\pm$  6.1%) segment compared to baseline (p = 0.77), whereas energy intake was increased (all p < 0.05) during workweek-1 (29.6%  $\pm$  8.8%) and workweek-2 (24.6%  $\pm$  8.3%) segments compared to the weekend and baseline. Despite greater increased total energy intake during weekend recovery sleep in men versus women, no differences in hunger were detected in men versus women on study day 11, the Monday following weekend recovery sleep.

In the CON and SR groups, body weight on the morning of study day 13 as a percent change from baseline was not statistically different in men versus women (all p > 0.72), but men in the SR group showed a ~2.8% increase (p < 0.05) in body weight on study day 13 versus baseline, whereas women in the SR group showed a non-significant (p = 0.19) increase of ~1.1%. In the WR group, there was a main effect by sex (p < 0.05) for body weight on the morning of study day 13, as a percent change from baseline, with men gaining more weight versus women; men in the WR group showed a ~3.0% increase (p < 0.05) in body weight on study day 13 versus baseline, whereas women showed a non-significant (p = 0.57) increase of 0.5%.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Obtaining extra sleep during the weekend is a common selfselected strategy used to recover from sleep loss incurred during the workweek [24, 25]. Yet the influence of weekend recovery sleep on metabolic dysregulation associated with insufficient sleep, and specifically recurrent insufficient sleep following the weekend, is poorly understood. Thus, our primary aims were

8 Current Biology 29, 1-11, March 18, 2019

cient sleep following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep. Furthermore, during recurrent insufficient sleep following weekend recovery sleep, we show that the timing of the internal circadian clock was delayed, and hepatic and muscle insulin sensitivity were reduced. Our findings suggest that benefits of weekend recovery sleep are transient, and they identify lower hepatic and muscle insulin sensitivity and delayed circadian timing as potential negative consequences associated with weekend recovery sleep followed by recurrent insufficient sleep. Studies investigating the impact of altered circadian timing on tissue-specific insulin sensitivity in humans are warranted. Furthermore, studies focused on different populations, such as older adults and people with obesity and diabetes, examining different workweek insufficient sleep simulations (e.g., different sleep opportunities and the number of nights per week with insufficient sleep) and using cross-over designs to improve statistical power and control for individual differences in responses to insufficient [17] and recovery sleep, are needed. Our findings of sex differences in energy intake and recovery sleep highlight the need for trials that are specifically designed to examine differences in men versus women on sleep and metabolic outcomes.

#### **STAR**\*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
  Human Subjects
- METHOD DETAILS
  - Ambulatory Assessment
  - In-Laboratory Baseline Protocol

- In-Laboratory Sleep Manipulation
- Polysomnography (PSG) and Power Spectral Analysis
- Hyperinsulinemic-Euglycemic Clamp
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
  - Statistics
  - Hyperinsulinemic-Euglycemic Clamp Calculations

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.01.069.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants, the University of Colorado Boulder Clinical Translational Research Center staff, and B. Smith, B. Brainard, B. Griffin, J. Guzzetti, T. Dear, J. Higdon, A. Tucker, and G. Wright for study assistance. This research was supported by NIH grants HL109706, DK111161, TR001082, DK048520, and Sleep Research Society Foundation grant 011-JP-16.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

E.L.M, R.H.E., and K.P.W. designed the experiments; C.M.D., E.L.M., J.K.S., L.P., B.C.B., and K.P.W. collected data; all authors analyzed data and edited the paper; C.M.D. and K.P.W. wrote the manuscript; E.L.M., R.H.E., L.P., B.C.B., and K.P.W. obtained funding and supervised the research.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

C.M.D., E.L.M., R.H.E., J.K.S., L.P., B.C.B., J.A.H., M.K.G., E.R.S., and S.J.M declare no competing interests. K.P.W. reports during the conduct of the study being an advisory board member and receiving personal fees from the NIH; being a scientific advisory board member of and receiving personal fees from Torvec; receiving personal fees from Circadian Therapeutics, Inc. and from Kellogg Company; and receiving research support from the NIH, the Office of Naval Research, the PAC-12 conference, and Somalogic, Inc. outside the submitted work.

Received: November 14, 2018 Revised: January 2, 2019 Accepted: January 25, 2019 Published: February 28, 2019

#### REFERENCES

- Afshin, A., Forouzanfar, M.H., Reitsma, M.B., Sur, P., Estep, K., Lee, A., Marczak, L., Mokdad, A.H., Moradi-Lakeh, M., Naghavi, M., et al.; GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators (2017). Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 13–27.
- Depner, C.M., Stothard, E.R., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2014). Metabolic consequences of sleep and circadian disorders. Curr. Diab. Rep. 14, 507.
- Arble, D.M., Bass, J., Behn, C.D., Butler, M.P., Challet, E., Czeisler, C., Depner, C.M., Elmquist, J., Franken, P., Grandner, M.A., et al. (2015). Impact of sleep and circadian disruption on energy balance and diabetes: a summary of workshop discussions. Sleep *38*, 1849–1860.
- McHill, A.W., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2017). Role of sleep and circadian disruption on energy expenditure and in metabolic predisposition to human obesity and metabolic disease. Obes. Rev. 18 (Suppl 1), 15–24.
- Cappuccio, F.P., D'Elia, L., Strazzullo, P., and Miller, M.A. (2010). Quantity and quality of sleep and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Care 33, 414–420.
- Spiegel, K., Leproult, R., and Van Cauter, E. (1999). Impact of sleep debt on metabolic and endocrine function. Lancet 354, 1435–1439.
- Buxton, O.M., Pavlova, M., Reid, E.W., Wang, W., Simonson, D.C., and Adler, G.K. (2010). Sleep restriction for 1 week reduces insulin sensitivity in healthy men. Diabetes 59, 2126–2133.

- Nedeltcheva, A.V., Kessler, L., Imperial, J., and Penev, P.D. (2009). Exposure to recurrent sleep restriction in the setting of high caloric intake and physical inactivity results in increased insulin resistance and reduced glucose tolerance. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 94, 3242–3250.
- Reynolds, A.C., Dorrian, J., Liu, P.Y., Van Dongen, H.P., Wittert, G.A., Harmer, L.J., and Banks, S. (2012). Impact of five nights of sleep restriction on glucose metabolism, leptin and testosterone in young adult men. PLoS ONE 7, e41218.
- Leproult, R., Holmbäck, U., and Van Cauter, E. (2014). Circadian misalignment augments markers of insulin resistance and inflammation, independently of sleep loss. Diabetes 63, 1860–1869.
- Broussard, J.L., Ehrmann, D.A., Van Cauter, E., Tasali, E., and Brady, M.J. (2012). Impaired insulin signaling in human adipocytes after experimental sleep restriction: a randomized, crossover study. Ann. Intern. Med. 157, 549–557.
- Eckel, R.H., Depner, C.M., Perreault, L., Markwald, R.R., Smith, M.R., McHill, A.W., Higgins, J., Melanson, E.L., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2015). Morning circadian misalignment during short sleep duration impacts insulin sensitivity. Curr. Biol. 25, 3004–3010.
- Donga, E., van Dijk, M., van Dijk, J.G., Biermasz, N.R., Lammers, G.J., van Kralingen, K.W., Corssmit, E.P., and Romijn, J.A. (2010). A single night of partial sleep deprivation induces insulin resistance in multiple metabolic pathways in healthy subjects. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 95, 2963–2968.
- Rao, M.N., Neylan, T.C., Grunfeld, C., Mulligan, K., Schambelan, M., and Schwarz, J.M. (2015). Subchronic sleep restriction causes tissue-specific insulin resistance. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100, 1664–1671.
- Robertson, M.D., Russell-Jones, D., Umpleby, A.M., and Dijk, D.J. (2013). Effects of three weeks of mild sleep restriction implemented in the home environment on multiple metabolic and endocrine markers in healthy young men. Metabolism 62, 204–211.
- Broussard, J.L., Chapotot, F., Abraham, V., Day, A., Delebecque, F., Whitmore, H.R., and Tasali, E. (2015). Sleep restriction increases free fatty acids in healthy men. Diabetologia 58, 791–798.
- Markwald, R.R., Melanson, E.L., Smith, M.R., Higgins, J., Perreault, L., Eckel, R.H., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2013). Impact of insufficient sleep on total daily energy expenditure, food intake, and weight gain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 5695–5700.
- 18. Watson, N.F., Badr, M.S., Belenky, G., Bliwise, D.L., Buxton, O.M., Buysse, D., Dinges, D.F., Gangwisch, J., Grandner, M.A., Kushida, C., et al. (2015). Recommended amount of sleep for a healthy adult: a joint consensus statement of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine and Sleep Research Society. Sleep 38, 843–844.
- 19. Watson, N.F., Badr, M.S., Belenky, G., Bliwise, D.L., Buxton, O.M., Buysse, D., Dinges, D.F., Gangwisch, J., Grandner, M.A., Kushida, C., et al.; Consensus Conference Panel; Non-Participating Observers; American Academy of Sleep Medicine Staff (2015). Recommended amount of sleep for a healthy adult: a joint consensus statement of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine and Sleep Research Society. J. Clin. Sleep Med. *11*, 591–592.
- 20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014). Short Sleep Duration Among US Adults. https://www.cdc.gov/sleep/data\_statistics.html.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2011). Effect of short sleep duration on daily activities–United States, 2005-2008. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 60, 239–242.
- Ford, E.S., Cunningham, T.J., and Croft, J.B. (2015). Trends in self-reported sleep duration among US adults from 1985 to 2012. Sleep 38, 829–832.
- Mysliwiec, V., McGraw, L., Pierce, R., Smith, P., Trapp, B., and Roth, B.J. (2013). Sleep disorders and associated medical comorbidities in active duty military personnel. Sleep 36, 167–174.
- National Sleep Foundation (2015). Sleep in America Poll. https://www. sleepfoundation.org/sleep-polls-data/sleep-in-america-poll/2015-sleepand-pain.

- Tsui, Y.Y., and Wing, Y.K. (2009). A study on the sleep patterns and problems of university business students in Hong Kong. J. Am. Coll. Health 58, 167–176.
- 26. Simpson, N.S., Diolombi, M., Scott-Sutherland, J., Yang, H., Bhatt, V., Gautam, S., Mullington, J., and Haack, M. (2016). Repeating patterns of sleep restriction and recovery: do we get used to it? Brain Behav. Immun. 58, 142–151.
- Broussard, J.L., Wroblewski, K., Kilkus, J.M., and Tasali, E. (2016). Two nights of recovery sleep reverses the effects of short-term sleep restriction on diabetes risk. Diabetes Care 39, e40–e41.
- 28. Pejovic, S., Basta, M., Vgontzas, A.N., Kritikou, I., Shaffer, M.L., Tsaoussoglou, M., Stiffler, D., Stefanakis, Z., Bixler, E.O., and Chrousos, G.P. (2013). Effects of recovery sleep after one work week of mild sleep restriction on interleukin-6 and cortisol secretion and daytime sleepiness and performance. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 305, E890–E896.
- van Leeuwen, W.M.A., Sallinen, M., Virkkala, J., Lindholm, H., Hirvonen, A., Hublin, C., Porkka-Heiskanen, T., and Härmä, M. (2018). Physiological and autonomic stress responses after prolonged sleep restriction and subsequent recovery sleep in healthy young men. Sleep Biol. Rhythms 16, 45–54.
- 30. Killick, R., Hoyos, C.M., Melehan, K.L., Dungan, G.C., 2nd, Poh, J., and Liu, P.Y. (2015). Metabolic and hormonal effects of 'catch-up' sleep in men with chronic, repetitive, lifestyle-driven sleep restriction. Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf.) 83, 498–507.
- Brondel, L., Romer, M.A., Nougues, P.M., Touyarou, P., and Davenne, D. (2010). Acute partial sleep deprivation increases food intake in healthy men. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. *91*, 1550–1559.
- Benedict, C., Hallschmid, M., Lassen, A., Mahnke, C., Schultes, B., Schiöth, H.B., Born, J., and Lange, T. (2011). Acute sleep deprivation reduces energy expenditure in healthy men. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 93, 1229– 1236.
- Dijk, D.J. (2009). Regulation and functional correlates of slow wave sleep. J. Clin. Sleep Med. 5 (Suppl 2), S6–S15.
- Borbély, A.A. (1982). A two process model of sleep regulation. Hum. Neurobiol. 1, 195–204.
- Akerstedt, T., Kecklund, G., Ingre, M., Lekander, M., and Axelsson, J. (2009). Sleep homeostasis during repeated sleep restriction and recovery: support from EEG dynamics. Sleep 32, 217–222.
- Brunner, D.P., Dijk, D.J., and Borbély, A.A. (1993). Repeated partial sleep deprivation progressively changes in EEG during sleep and wakefulness. Sleep 16, 100–113.
- 37. Plante, D.T., Goldstein, M.R., Cook, J.D., Smith, R., Riedner, B.A., Rumble, M.E., Jelenchick, L., Roth, A., Tononi, G., Benca, R.M., and Peterson, M.J. (2016). Effects of partial sleep deprivation on slow waves during non-rapid eye movement sleep: a high density EEG investigation. Clin. Neurophysiol. *127*, 1436–1444.
- Banks, S., Van Dongen, H.P., Maislin, G., and Dinges, D.F. (2010). Neurobehavioral dynamics following chronic sleep restriction: doseresponse effects of one night for recovery. Sleep 33, 1013–1026.
- Van Dongen, H.P.A., Maislin, G., Mullington, J.M., and Dinges, D.F. (2003). The cumulative cost of additional wakefulness: dose-response effects on neurobehavioral functions and sleep physiology from chronic sleep restriction and total sleep deprivation. Sleep 26, 117–126.
- 40. Belenky, G., Wesensten, N.J., Thorne, D.R., Thomas, M.L., Sing, H.C., Redmond, D.P., Russo, M.B., and Balkin, T.J. (2003). Patterns of performance degradation and restoration during sleep restriction and subsequent recovery: a sleep dose-response study. J. Sleep Res. 12, 1–12.
- Bass, J., and Takahashi, J.S. (2010). Circadian integration of metabolism and energetics. Science 330, 1349–1354.
- Wright, K.P., Jr., McHill, A.W., Birks, B.R., Griffin, B.R., Rusterholz, T., and Chinoy, E.D. (2013). Entrainment of the human circadian clock to the natural light-dark cycle. Curr. Biol. 23, 1554–1558.
- Stothard, E.R., McHill, A.W., Depner, C.M., Birks, B.R., Moehlman, T.M., Ritchie, H.K., Guzzetti, J.R., Chinoy, E.D., LeBourgeois, M.K., Axelsson,

J., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2017). Circadian entrainment to the natural light-dark cycle across seasons and the weekend. Curr. Biol. *27*, 508–513.

- Crowley, S.J., and Carskadon, M.A. (2010). Modifications to weekend recovery sleep delay circadian phase in older adolescents. Chronobiol. Int. 27, 1469–1492.
- Wittmann, M., Dinich, J., Merrow, M., and Roenneberg, T. (2006). Social jetlag: misalignment of biological and social time. Chronobiol. Int. 23, 497–509.
- 46. Spaeth, A.M., Dinges, D.F., and Goel, N. (2013). Effects of experimental sleep restriction on weight gain, caloric intake, and meal timing in healthy adults. Sleep 36, 981–990.
- 47. St-Onge, M.P., Roberts, A.L., Chen, J., Kelleman, M., O'Keeffe, M., Roychoudhury, A., and Jones, P.J. (2011). Short sleep duration increases energy intakes but does not change energy expenditure in normal-weight individuals. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 94, 410–416.
- 48. Gill, S., and Panda, S. (2015). A smartphone app reveals erratic diurnal eating patterns in humans that can be modulated for health benefits. Cell Metab. 22, 789–798.
- Baron, K.G., Reid, K.J., Kern, A.S., and Zee, P.C. (2011). Role of sleep timing in caloric intake and BMI. Obesity 19, 1374–1381.
- Depner, C.M., Melanson, E.L., McHill, A.W., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2018). Mistimed food intake and sleep alters 24-hour time-of-day patterns of the human plasma proteome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *115*, E5390– E5399.
- McHill, A.W., Phillips, A.J., Czeisler, C.A., Keating, L., Yee, K., Barger, L.K., Garaulet, M., Scheer, F.A., and Klerman, E.B. (2017). Later circadian timing of food intake is associated with increased body fat. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 106, 1213–1219.
- Chaix, A., Zarrinpar, A., Miu, P., and Panda, S. (2014). Time-restricted feeding is a preventative and therapeutic intervention against diverse nutritional challenges. Cell Metab. 20, 991–1005.
- Hatori, M., Vollmers, C., Zarrinpar, A., DiTacchio, L., Bushong, E.A., Gill, S., Leblanc, M., Chaix, A., Joens, M., Fitzpatrick, J.A., et al. (2012). Time-restricted feeding without reducing caloric intake prevents metabolic diseases in mice fed a high-fat diet. Cell Metab. *15*, 848–860.
- Arble, D.M., Bass, J., Laposky, A.D., Vitaterna, M.H., and Turek, F.W. (2009). Circadian timing of food intake contributes to weight gain. Obesity (Silver Spring) *17*, 2100–2102.
- Broussard, J.L., Kilkus, J.M., Delebecque, F., Abraham, V., Day, A., Whitmore, H.R., and Tasali, E. (2016). Elevated ghrelin predicts food intake during experimental sleep restriction. Obesity (Silver Spring) 24, 132–138.
- Nedeltcheva, A.V., Kilkus, J.M., Imperial, J., Kasza, K., Schoeller, D.A., and Penev, P.D. (2009). Sleep curtailment is accompanied by increased intake of calories from snacks. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 89, 126–133.
- 57. Schmid, S.M., Hallschmid, M., Jauch-Chara, K., Wilms, B., Benedict, C., Lehnert, H., Born, J., and Schultes, B. (2009). Short-term sleep loss decreases physical activity under free-living conditions but does not increase food intake under time-deprived laboratory conditions in healthy men. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 90, 1476–1482.
- Perry, R.J., Samuel, V.T., Petersen, K.F., and Shulman, G.I. (2014). The role of hepatic lipids in hepatic insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature 510, 84–91.
- 59. Kim, C.W., Yun, K.E., Jung, H.S., Chang, Y., Choi, E.S., Kwon, M.J., Lee, E.H., Woo, E.J., Kim, N.H., Shin, H., and Ryu, S. (2013). Sleep duration and quality in relation to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in middle-aged workers and their spouses. J. Hepatol. 59, 351–357.
- Damiola, F., Le Minh, N., Preitner, N., Kornmann, B., Fleury-Olela, F., and Schibler, U. (2000). Restricted feeding uncouples circadian oscillators in peripheral tissues from the central pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. Genes Dev. 14, 2950–2961.
- Stokkan, K.A., Yamazaki, S., Tei, H., Sakaki, Y., and Menaker, M. (2001). Entrainment of the circadian clock in the liver by feeding. Science 291, 490–493.

- Mohawk, J.A., Green, C.B., and Takahashi, J.S. (2012). Central and peripheral circadian clocks in mammals. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 35, 445–462.
- **63.** Ferrell, J.M., and Chiang, J.Y. (2015). Short-term circadian disruption impairs bile acid and lipid homeostasis in mice. Cell. Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. *1*, 664–677.
- 64. Brager, A.J., Heemstra, L., Bhambra, R., Ehlen, J.C., Esser, K.A., Paul, K.N., and Novak, C.M. (2017). Homeostatic effects of exercise and sleep on metabolic processes in mice with an overexpressed skeletal muscle clock. Biochimie *132*, 161–165.
- 65. Cedernaes, J., Osler, M.E., Voisin, S., Broman, J.E., Vogel, H., Dickson, S.L., Zierath, J.R., Schiöth, H.B., and Benedict, C. (2015). Acute sleep loss induces tissue-specific epigenetic and transcriptional alterations to circadian clock genes in men. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100, E1255– E1261.
- 66. de Lauzon, B., Romon, M., Deschamps, V., Lafay, L., Borys, J.M., Karlsson, J., Ducimetière, P., and Charles, M.A.; Fleurbaix Laventie Ville Sante Study Group (2004). The three-factor eating questionnaire-R18 is able to distinguish among different eating patterns in a general population. J. Nutr. *134*, 2372–2380.
- McHill, A.W., Melanson, E.L., Higgins, J., Connick, E., Moehlman, T.M., Stothard, E.R., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2014). Impact of circadian misalignment on energy metabolism during simulated nightshift work. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, 17302–17307.
- Gronfier, C., Wright, K.P., Jr., Kronauer, R.E., and Czeisler, C.A. (2007). Entrainment of the human circadian pacemaker to longer-than-24-h days. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 9081–9086.
- Wright, K.P., Jr., Hughes, R.J., Kronauer, R.E., Dijk, D.J., and Czeisler, C.A. (2001). Intrinsic near-24-h pacemaker period determines limits of

circadian entrainment to a weak synchronizer in humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 14027-14032.

- 70. Chinoy, E.D., Frey, D.J., Kaslovsky, D.N., Meyer, F.G., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2014). Age-related changes in slow wave activity rise time and NREM sleep EEG with and without zolpidem in healthy young and older adults. Sleep Med. 15, 1037–1045.
- DeFronzo, R.A., Ferrannini, E., Hendler, R., Felig, P., and Wahren, J. (1983). Regulation of splanchnic and peripheral glucose uptake by insulin and hyperglycemia in man. Diabetes *32*, 35–45.
- DeFronzo, R.A., Tobin, J.D., and Andres, R. (1979). Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. Am. J. Physiol. 237, E214–E223.
- Bergman, B.C., Howard, D., Schauer, I.E., Maahs, D.M., Snell-Bergeon, J.K., Clement, T.W., Eckel, R.H., Perreault, L., and Rewers, M. (2013). The importance of palmitoleic acid to adipocyte insulin resistance and whole-body insulin sensitivity in type 1 diabetes. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 98, E40–E50.
- Bergman, B.C., Howard, D., Schauer, I.E., Maahs, D.M., Snell-Bergeon, J.K., Eckel, R.H., Perreault, L., and Rewers, M. (2012). Features of hepatic and skeletal muscle insulin resistance unique to type 1 diabetes. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 97, 1663–1672.
- 75. Wolfe, R. (1992). Radioactive and Stable Isotope Tracers in Biomedicine: Principles and Practice of Kinetic Analysis (Wiley-Liss).
- 76. Finegood, D.T., Bergman, R.N., and Vranic, M. (1987). Estimation of endogenous glucose production during hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic glucose clamps. Comparison of unlabeled and labeled exogenous glucose infusates. Diabetes 36, 914–924.

#### **STAR**\***METHODS**

#### **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	
Software and Algorithms			
R v3.3.1	The R Foundation	https://www.r-project.org/	
Statistica v13.3	TIBCO Software Inc.	https://www.tibco.com/	
MATLAB vR2015a	Mathworks Inc.	https://www.mathworks.com	
Other			
Actiwatch-L	Mini-Mitter/Respironics	http://www.minimitter.com/	
[6,6- <sup>2</sup> H <sub>2</sub> ] glucose	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc.	DLM-349-SP	
[1,1,2,3,3- <sup>2</sup> H <sub>5</sub> ] glycerol	Cambridge Isotope Laboratories Inc.	DLM-1229-SP	

#### CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Kenneth P. Wright, Jr. (kenneth.wright@colorado.edu).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

#### **Human Subjects**

Thirty-six healthy participants (18 women/18 men) aged 25.5 ± 4.7y (mean ± SD), with normal body mass index (BMI) 22.4 ± 1.7kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and percent body fat 24.9 ± 9.2 completed the study protocol. Additionally, the men were aged 25.2 ± 5.2y, with BMI 22.6 ± 1.7kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and percent body fat  $19.0 \pm 6.3$ , and the women were aged  $25.8 \pm 4.2$ y, with BMI  $22.2 \pm 1.7$ kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and percent body fat  $31.8 \pm 7.3$ . Of the 36 participants, 25 were Non-Hispanic White (12 women/13 men), 4 were Non-Hispanic Asian (3 women/1 man), 5 were Hispanic or Latino (1 woman/4 men), one women was Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and one women was Non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska Native. Procedures were approved by the scientific and advisory review committee of the Colorado Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute, the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board (IRB), and the University of Colorado Boulder IRB. After providing written informed consent, all participants completed screening procedures consisting of medical, psychological, and sleep history, semi-structured clinical psychiatric interview, physical examination, complete blood count and comprehensive metabolic panel, urine toxicology, 12-lead clinical electrocardiogram, and polysomnographic clinical sleep disorders screen, similar to our previous protocols [12, 17]. Based on these screening procedures, participants were considered free of medical and psychological disorders. Inclusion criteria were: 18-40 years old; BMI 18.5-24.9kg/m<sup>2</sup>; low to moderate caffeine (< 500mg/day) and alcohol use (average < 2 standard drinks/day/week and  $\leq$  5 drinks in any day); free of drug dependence and non-smokers. Low to moderate physically active participants were studied to control for the metabolic and physiological effects of detraining during the laboratory protocol. Exclusion criteria were: current or chronic medical/psychiatric conditions; pregnancy; shift work; or dwelling below Denver altitude (1600 m) the year prior to study; travel across more than one time zone three weeks prior to the in-laboratory clinical translational research center (CTRC) study at the at the University of Colorado Hospital; maximal self-reported lifetime BMI > 27.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>; recent self-reported weight loss; eating disorders and abnormal eating patterns identified by dietitian interview, and a high level of dietary restraint as identified by a three-item eating questionnaire [66], similar to our prior studies [12, 17]. Participants self-reported being medication free and breath alcohol testing and urine toxicology verified drug free status upon CTRC admission.

#### **METHOD DETAILS**

#### **Ambulatory Assessment**

Prior to CTRC admission, participants completed a 7-day ambulatory home-assessment with consistent ~9h sleep schedules based on habitual sleep/waketimes verified by wrist actigraphy (Actiwatch-L; Mini-Mitter/Respironics), sleep logs, and voice call-ins to a time stamped recorder to ensure participants were not sleep deprived prior to being studied in the lab. Drugs, medications, and nico-tine were proscribed during the 7-day home-assessment prior to CTRC admission for the in-lab protocol. For 3 days prior to the in-lab protocol, caffeine and alcohol were proscribed and participants consumed an outpatient diet provided by CTRC nutritionists that was designed to meet individual daily energy requirements (resting metabolic rate x a 1.5 activity factor). Macronutrient composition consisted of 30% fat, 55% carbohydrate, and 15% protein. Exercise was proscribed for 3 days prior to the in-lab protocol ensuring participants were in energy balance prior to the in-laboratory study.

#### **In-Laboratory Baseline Protocol**

Study days 1-3 (Figure 1). Throughout the manuscript the term bedtime refers to lights-out and the term waketime refers to lights-on. Waketime defines the start of a new study day, and each study day consists of wakefulness and the complete nighttime sleep episode. Additionally, we use the term study night to refer specifically to the sleep opportunity for a given study day. Scheduled sleep and waketimes were based on each participant's individual habitual sleep schedule (i.e., bedtime and waketime) the week prior to the in-lab protocol. All protocol events were scheduled relative to individual habitual waketimes. Light exposure consisted of natural sunlight (window) and room lighting at ~200 lux to approximate typical light exposure outside the laboratory environment during wake opportunities, except on melatonin assessment days. Night 1 polysomnography (PSG) served as familiarization and sleep disorders screening. Nights 2 and 3 PSG served as baseline sleep analysis. Energy intake on days 1-3 matched caloric and macronutrient composition of the outpatient diet designed to keep participants in energy balance. Energy intake was controlled with scheduled meals consisting of breakfast, lunch, dinner, and an after-dinner snack, with each of these meals contributing to 30%, 30%, 30%, and 10% of total daily energy intake, respectively. Blood was collected every 1h for 24h on study day 3 and analyzed for melatonin (circadian phase marker). Circadian melatonin phase was assessed on study day 3 in dim light (< 10 lux maximum in the angle of gaze at eye level) during scheduled wakefulness, and 0 lux during scheduled sleep. During melatonin phase assessments, subjects did not have access to sunlight and all electronic light-emitting devices were dimmed and maximum light exposure of < 10 lux was confirmed with a photometer. Furthermore, room temperature was maintained at 22-24°C throughout the entire study protocol. Hourly blood samples were collected via an indwelling venous catheter with heparinized saline drip and 12-foot extension tubing through a porthole in the subject room [17, 67]. This permitted blood sampling without entering the room during scheduled sleep. On day 4 prior to introducing sleep loss, insulin sensitivity was assessed using a multi-stage hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp with isotope labeled glucose and glycerol to assess hepatic and adipose tissue specific insulin sensitivity. Participants performed 20 min low intensity stepping sessions (72 steps/min) twice per day at 5h and 8h after scheduled waketime to mimic activities of daily living outside the laboratory as done previously [17]. Visual analog scales were used to assess hunger and physical exhaustion ratings (0 = not hungry at all and 100 = as hungry as I've ever felt; 0 = energetic and 100 = physically exhausted) starting 2h after scheduled waketime and every 2 h thereafter during scheduled wakefulness. During free time of scheduled wakefulness, participants were permitted to watch TV, work on personal computers, and use their cell phone. Participants were thus aware of time cues during scheduled wake episodes. Personal electronics were removed from the room during scheduled sleep opportunities starting ~10min prior to scheduled lights-out and the electricity for the TV and lights were controlled by research staff and therefore were off throughout the entire duration of sleep opportunities.

#### **In-Laboratory Sleep Manipulation**

Study days 4-13. Similar to baseline, waketime defines the start of a new study day, and each study day consists of wakefulness and the complete nighttime sleep episode. Participants were randomized to one of three groups using a modified Latin square design separately by sex to ensure equal numbers of men and women in each group, and with a higher number of assignments to the SR and WR groups. Age and body mass index were similar between all groups (all  $p \ge 0.08$ ). Participants randomized to the CON group (CON; n = 8[4 men, 4 women], aged 22.8 ± 4.5y, BMI 22.3 ± 1.9kg/m<sup>2</sup>) maintained a 9h per night sleep opportunity (Figure 1A). Participants randomized to the SR group (SR; n = 14[7 men, 7 women], aged 25.2 ± 4.7y, BMI 22.6 ± 2.0kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were scheduled to a 5h per night sleep opportunity (Figure 1B). Participants randomized to the WR group (WR; n = 14[7 men, 7 women], aged 27.4  $\pm$  4.1y, BMI 22.3  $\pm$  1.2kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were scheduled to a 5h/night sleep opportunity for nights 4-7 simulating a Monday through Thursday. On night 8, simulating a Friday, participants went to bed 2h later than their habitual bedtime at baseline and were allowed to self-select their waketime on the morning of study day 9. Participants in the WR group then received 2 days ad libitum weekend recovery sleep (days 9 & 10; enforced minimum of 10h time in bed, napping permitted) followed by 2 full nights of scheduled 5h/night sleep opportunities (days 11-12; Figure 1C). On study days 9 and 10, only two subjects choose to nap and each subject took one nap lasting ~1.5h. While naps are included in the overall analyses for polysomnography derived outcomes (i.e., total sleep time for that study day), we did not perform separate analyses on just these two naps specifically. For the WR group, study day 10 was a simulated Sunday where participants self-select their bedtime knowing scheduled waketime on study day 11 was 2h earlier than waketime at baseline. For the SR and WR groups, sleep was restricted by delaying and advancing scheduled bedtime and waketime each by 2h, keeping the mid-point of scheduled sleep centered. For all groups, study days 4-8 served as the workweek-1 segment, study days 9-10 served as the weekend segment, and study day 11-13 served as the workweek-2 segment. Light exposure matched baseline with dim-light conditions on study days 5 and 11 to facilitate circadian melatonin phase assessments as done on study day 3. PSG was administered on nights 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, and 11. Macronutrient composition of meals matched the outpatient diet, but with ~33% more calories presented for each meal and all food consumption was ad libitum. Additionally, a variety of modular snacks were available during scheduled wakefulness for all groups as done previously (see supplementary information in [17] for a list of specific food items]. Insulin sensitivity was assessed on study day 12 for all groups using the multi-stage hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp with isotope labeled glucose and glycerol to assess hepatic and adipose tissue specific insulin sensitivity. Blood was collected every 1h over 24h on study days 5 and 11 and analyzed for melatonin (LDN Melatonin Direct Radioimmunoassay; Rocky Mountain Diagnostics) [68, 69] to detect any rapid changes in circadian phase (study day 5) during insufficient sleep and to detect changes following weekend recovery sleep (study day 11). Blood sample collection was performed as in the baseline segment. Participants performed 20 min low intensity stepping sessions (72 steps/min) twice per day at 5h and 8h after schedule waketime to mimic daily physical activity outside the laboratory as done previously [17]. During the weekend segment, participants in the WR group were allowed to self-select the timing of their stair-stepping sessions. Hunger and physical exhaustion were assessed as in the baseline segment. Hunger and physical exhaustion were not assessed during the weekend (study days 9 and 10) or on study days 4 and 12 when the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp was administered.

#### **Polysomnography (PSG) and Power Spectral Analysis**

PSG recordings were collected using monopolar EEGs referenced to contralateral mastoids (C3xA2, C4xA1, O1xA2, and F3xA2), right and left electrooculograms, chin electromyogram, electrocardiogram, and respiration. Scheduled wakefulness was verified by research staff with continuous monitoring and with addition of waking EEG on study days 3, 5, and 11. Power spectral analysis was conducted by Fast Fourier Transformation on C3xA2 derivations using a custom MATLAB (Mathworks, Inc., vR2015a) program as described previously [70]. All epochs scored as wakefulness or artifacts were excluded from power spectral analysis. Briefly, power was calculated using 2 s Hanning windows averaged over each 30 s epoch to produce estimates of power at a 0.5Hz resolution. We applied high- and low-pass filters of 0.5Hz and 25Hz, respectively. Cumulative slow wave activity was calculated for the delta range of 1-4Hz across the entire sleep episode for each participant and then averaged across participants for baseline (study days 2-3), workweek-1 (study days 4-5), weekend (study days 8-10, including naps for WR), and workweek-2 (study day 11) segments. Log transformed power spectral data were used for statistical analyses and are presented in Figure 2.

#### Hyperinsulinemic-Euglycemic Clamp

Participants were fasted overnight and throughout the clamp procedure. On the mornings of the clamp procedure at 1h after habitual waketime, an antecubital catheter was placed for infusions of dextrose, insulin, potassium, and stable isotopes of glucose and glycerol. A hand vein was also catheterized on the contralateral arm for blood draws during the clamp using the heated hand vein technique. At 2h after habitual waketime (T = 0) blood samples were collected for fasting glucose and insulin analysis and a primed (4 mg/kg) constant (0.04 mg/kg/min) infusion of [6,6-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>2</sub>] glucose and a primed (1.6 umol/kg) constant (0.11 umol/kg/min) infusion of [1,1,2,3,3-<sup>2</sup>H<sub>5</sub>] glycerol was initiated and continued throughout the clamp. Blood samples were collected at T = 90, 100, 110, and 120 min for basal assessment of isotope tracers and total plasma glucose, insulin, and glycerol concentrations. Following basal blood collection, a three-stage hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp was initiated and continued for the next 6h using established methods [71-74]. A primed continuous infusion of insulin was administered at 4-mU/m<sup>2</sup> · min for 2h, then increased to 8-mU/m<sup>2</sup> · min for 2h, and lastly to 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup> min for the final 2h. A variable infusion of 20% dextrose was administered to maintain blood glucose at approximately 90 mg/dl throughout each stage of the clamp. Arterialized blood was sampled every 5 min for bedside determination of glucose concentration (Analox Instruments USA, Inc., Lunenburg, MA), and the dextrose infusion was adjusted as necessary to maintain the targeted 90 mg/dl blood glucose concentration. Dextrose was spiked with 15 µmol/mL (3.1 mg/mL) [6.6-2H2] glucose to minimize changes in isotope enrichment and reduce calculation error. Blood was collected over the final 30 min of each stage (T = 210, 220, 230, 240, 330, 340, 350, 360, 450, 460, 470, and 480 min) for assessment of isotope tracers and total plasma glucose, insulin, and glycerol concentrations. Glucose and glycerol isotopic enrichment were measured using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GCMS; GC model 6890 series II and MS model 5973A, Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA). Briefly, [U-13C] glucose and [U-13C] glycerol were added as an internal standard. Then, 100 μl plasma was protein precipitated, dried, and derivatized using 1:1 acetic anhydride:pyridine. Samples were analyzed using CI mode, and appropriate ions measured for calculation of concentration and enrichment.

#### **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Statistics**

Study segments for data analyses were defined as follows: study days 1-3 (baseline), study days 4-8 (workweek-1), study days 9-10 (weekend), and study days 11-13 (workweek-2). Mixed-Effects ANOVAs with either study day (sleep duration, slow wave activity, circadian melatonin phase, insulin sensitivity) or study segment (for energy intake and weight gain) as fixed factors, and participant as a random factor were used to test for within group differences using Statistica (version 13.3; Statsoft). When there was an effect by sex, we included sex as a covariate in the mixed-models as detailed in the results when appropriate. For whole-body, adipose, hepatic, and muscle insulin sensitivity, the full model mixed-effects ANOVAs were controlled for energy intake and body weight on each day insulin sensitivity was assessed (i.e., study days 4 and 12 to account for change in body weight). For energy intake, average energy intake per kg body weight at baseline and for study days 5-11 was entered as a covariate in mixed-effects ANOVAs. Furthermore, to estimate the individual contributions of energy intake and weight-gain to changes in insulin sensitivity, each mixed-effects ANOVA was run with only energy intake or only body weight as a covariate. For whole-body insulin sensitivity, average plasma glucose concentrations during the 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>·min insulin infusion were included as a covariate in every mixed-effects ANOVA model. One-tailed a priori directional mixed-effects ANOVAs were used to analyze the hypothesized reduction in whole-body insulin sensitivity and two-tailed mixed-effects ANOVAs were used to analyze changes in adipose, hepatic, and muscle insulin sensitivity. For whole-body insulin sensitivity, one outlier (≥3SD beyond the interquartile range) was detected in the WR group on study day 12 and removed from statistical analyses. This subject was only considered an outlier for whole-body insulin sensitivity and therefore was included in analyses for adipose, hepatic, and muscle insulin sensitivity. Two-tailed t tests were used to test planned within (dependent t test) and between group comparisons for all other primary and secondary outcomes. For all comparisons, statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. Modified Bonferroni correction for multiple planned comparisons were used to reduce type

1 errors for daily assessments of total sleep time, slow wave activity, energy intake, hunger and physical exhaustion. The study was not specifically powered to examine sex differences and thus are considered exploratory. All statistical results are reported in the Results and Discussion section and in tables and figures when applicable.

#### Hyperinsulinemic-Euglycemic Clamp Calculations

The rates of glucose and glycerol appearance (Ra) and disappearance (Rd) during the clamp were calculated using a modified Steele Equation [75]. Equations described by Finegood et al. were used to account for the tracer in the spiked dextrose solution [76]. The concentration of insulin required for 50% inhibition ( $IC_{50}$ ) of glucose Ra and glycerol Ra was calculated using individual linear curve fitting to define the relationship between insulin concentration and glucose Ra or glycerol Ra for each participant. Adipose insulin sensitivity was defined as the insulin concentration required for 50% inhibition of glucose appearance. Hepatic insulin sensitivity was defined as the insulin concentration required for 50% inhibition of glucose appearance. Muscle insulin sensitivity was defined as the glucose Rd during the 40-mU/m2·min insulin infusion stage. Our methods for defining tissue specific insulin sensitivity are also published in previous findings from our group [73, 74].

**Current Biology, Volume 29** 

## **Supplemental Information**

## Ad libitum Weekend Recovery Sleep Fails to Prevent

## Metabolic Dysregulation during a Repeating Pattern

## of Insufficient Sleep and Weekend Recovery Sleep

Christopher M. Depner, Edward L. Melanson, Robert H. Eckel, Janet K. Snell-Bergeon, Leigh Perreault, Bryan C. Bergman, Janine A. Higgins, Molly K. Guerin, Ellen R. Stothard, Sarah J. Morton, and Kenneth P. Wright Jr.



**Figure S1. Light Exposure by Study Segment. Related to Table 1 and Figure 3.** (A-C) Average light exposure (lux) during study days 1-3 (baseline), study days 4-8(workweek-1), study days 9-10(weekend), and study days 11-13 (workweek-2) for the (A) CON, (B) SR, and (C) WR groups. (A-C) Data are represented as mean on a log scale and are double plotted to represent light levels across scheduled sleep opportunities. (D) Average light exposure (lux) and sleep opportunities for weekend recovery days for participants in the WR group; data are represented as mean on a log scale and are plotted by consecutive study days to represent light levels across all weekend recovery days and sleep opportunities. Average baseline (gray line) light levels are plotted for reference. In the WR group, day 8 represents the simulated Friday, day 9 represents the simulated Saturday, and day 10 represents the simulated Sunday. Participants in the WR group self-selected their wake and bedtimes on study days 9 and 10. Orange circles represent waketimes and orange squares represent bedtimes in panel D. CON, control group; SR, sleep restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group.



## Figure S2. Energy Intake by Meals and Body Weight. Related to Figure 4.

(A) Breakfast; (B) Lunch; (C) Dinner; (D) Pre-dinner snacks; (E) Body Weight as change from baseline. Solid lines represent significant differences between study segments at the end of each line (P < 0.05; within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group; kcal, kilocalorie; kg, kilogram Data are mean ± SEM. For participants in each group, energy intake from breakfast, lunch, and dinner was not statistically different between study segments. In the WR group, energy intake from pre-dinner snacks increased ~75% and ~57% (all P < 0.05) during workweek-1 versus the weekend and workweek-2 segments, respectively.



Figure S3. Ratings of Hunger and Physical Exhaustion. Related to Figure 4. (A-C) average daily self-reported hunger ratings for (A) CON, (B) SR, and (C) WR groups. (D-E) average daily self-reported physical exhaustion for the (D) CON, (E) SR, and (F) WR groups. Solid lines represent significant differences between study days at the end of each line (modified Bonferroni P < 0.05; within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group. Data are mean ± SEM. Similar to our prior findings [S1], hunger was decreased during insufficient sleep versus baseline and remained decreased during recurrent insufficient sleep following *ad libitum* weekend recovery sleep. Despite decreased hunger, total energy intake was elevated throughout the protocol during insufficient sleep versus baseline (main text; Figure 4). In the SR group, physical exhaustion increased during insufficient sleep versus baseline. In the WR group, physical exhaustion increased during workweek-1 (study days 4-8) versus baseline. On study day 11, the simulated Monday following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, physical exhaustion returned to baseline levels in the WR group. Our findings show that ad libitum weekend recovery sleep improved physical exhaustion, but during recurrent insufficient sleep on study day 13, physical exhaustion increased versus baseline. Despite improved physical exhaustion following ad libitum weekend recovery sleep, metabolic dysregulation persisted during recurrent insufficient sleep in the WR group. As noted previously, if higher physical exhaustion translates to lower physical activity, this could contribute to weight-gain when energy intake is excessive during insufficient sleep. Our current findings indicate that weekend recovery sleep only transiently improves physical exhaustion. Although all participants had low to moderate habitual physical activity levels and performed low-intensity stair-stepping sessions to mimic habitual physical activity, it is possible that physical activity was lower during the in-laboratory phase of the study relative to the ambulatory phase of the study or to habitual physical activity levels. Such possible differences in physical activity due to the nature of our highly controlled in-laboratory protocol may have contributed to changes in hunger and physical exhaustion. Thus, "real-world" ambulatory monitoring protocols are needed to fully investigate the impact of habitual insufficient sleep schedules and recovery sleep on the potential interaction between hunger, physical activity, and food intake.



Figure S<sub>4</sub>. Plasma Glucose and Insulin Concentrations during the Hyperinsulinemic/Euglycemic Clamp. Related to Figure 5. (A-C) Plasma glucose for the (A) CON, (B) SR, and (C) WR groups. (D-E) Plasma insulin for the (D) CON, (E) SR, and (F) WR groups. \*P < 0.05 for within group main effect during the clamp stage indicated. CON, control group; SR, sleep restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group. Data are mean ± SEM. Study day 4 represents the baseline study segment and study day 12 represents Tuesday of the workweek-2 study segment. Fasting plasma glucose concentrations prior to the basal stage of the hyperinsulinemic/euglycemic clamp were not statistically different (all  $P \ge 0.26$ ) within or between groups on study days 4 and 12 (T=0 time point). In the CON group, average plasma glucose during the 4-, 8-, and 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>·min insulin infusion stages was decreased (all P <0.05) on study day 12 versus study day 4. In the WR group, average plasma glucose during the 8-mU/m<sup>2</sup>•min and 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>•min insulin infusion stages, was decreased (all P < 0.05) on study day 12 versus study day 4. Fasting plasma insulin concentrations prior to the basal stage of the hyperinsulinemic/euglycemic clamp were not statistically different within or between groups on study days 4 and 12 (T=0 time point). In the SR group, average plasma insulin during the 8 $mU/m^2$ •min and 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>•min insulin infusion stages was increased (P < 0.05) on study day 12 versus study day 4. In the WR group, average plasma insulin during the 4-mU/m<sup>2</sup>•min and 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>•min insulin infusion stages was increased (P < 0.05) on study day 12 versus study day 4. Thus, we normalized the glucose infusion rate to plasma insulin concentrations in mixedmodel analyses for the 40-mU/m<sup>2</sup>·min insulin infusion stage. Increased plasma insulin concentrations on study day 12 (Tuesday of workweek-2) versus baseline in the SR and WR groups is consistent with the possibility of decreased insulin clearance rates during insufficient sleep. However, since we did not directly assess insulin clearance rates, follow-up studies are needed.



### Figure S5. Clamp Glucose and Glycerol Rates of Appearance. Related to Figure 5.

(A-C) Glucose rate of appearance for (A) CON, (B) SR, and (C) WR groups. (D-F) Glycerol rate of appearance for (D) CON, (E) SR, and (F) WR groups. Solid lines represent significant differences between study segments at the end of each line (P < 0.05; within groups). CON, control group; SR, sleep restriction group; WR, weekend recovery group; Ra, rate of appearance. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.



**Figure S6. 24h Time in Bed and Sleep Duration in Men and Women during** *Ad Libitum* **Weekend Recovery Sleep. Related to Table 1.** (A-B) 24h time in bed for (A) men and (B) women. (C-D) 24h total sleep time for (C) men and (D) women. Each colored line represents individual data for one participant. In each panel, the thickest black line represents the mean  $\pm$  SEM. Study day 8 represents the simulated Friday, study day 9 represents the simulated Saturday, and study day 10 represents the simulated Sunday. \**P* < 0.05 versus baseline, based on the average data for men and women separately. Data demonstrate general consistency in the pattern of more weekend recovery sleep versus baseline on both day 8 and 9 in men, but only on day 8 in women.

### SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

S1. Markwald, R.R., Melanson, E.L., Smith, M.R., Higgins, J., Perreault, L., Eckel, R.H., and Wright, K.P., Jr. (2013). Impact of insufficient sleep on total daily energy expenditure, food intake, and weight gain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 5695-5700.